

The Feudal System Lives

Growth-management laws regulate how people can use their land, in the name of “controlling urban sprawl.” These laws supposedly protect farms and open space, save energy, reduce air pollution, and reduce urban service costs. In “**The New Feudalism: Why States Must Repeal Growth-Management Laws**” (Policy Analysis no. 802), Cato senior fellow Randal O’Toole contends that these laws, which take development rights from rural landowners, “effectively create a ‘new feudalism.’” They spike median home prices, and dramatically increase home price volatility, making home-ownership a risky investment. They also slow regional growth and exacerbate income inequality. “Growth management results in huge transfers of wealth from renters and future homebuyers to people who owned

homes at the time the growth-management rules were put into effect,” he writes.

WINNING HEARTS AND MINDS

The United States employs various strategies when intervening in foreign countries—from “top-down” deployment of overwhelming firepower to “bottom-up” initiatives like civil assistance programs, aimed at winning hearts and minds. In “**Nation Building through Foreign Intervention: Evidence from Discontinuities in Military Strategies**” (Research Briefs in Economic Policy no. 61), Melissa Dell of Harvard University and Pablo Querubin of New York University examine several different strategies used during the Vietnam War and their relative impacts. They find that bombing in Vietnam backfired, inspiring more Vietnamese to participate in Viet Cong activities. It also weak-

ened local government and noncommunist civic society. Meanwhile, the areas where soldiers employed the “hearts and minds” tactics were attacked less by the Viet Cong and were less likely to have a Viet Cong presence.

THE PROBLEM WITH APPRENTICESHIPS

As students face crippling loans for college degrees that many of them do not want or need, Americans are increasingly interested in alternative forms of gaining job skills, including apprenticeships. But, as Gail Heriot of the University of San Diego School of Law writes in “**Apprenticeships: Useful Alternative, Tough to Implement**” (Policy



CATO POLICY REPORT is a bimonthly review published by the Cato Institute and sent to all contributors. It is indexed in PAIS Bulletin. Single issues are \$2.00 a copy. ISSN: 0743-605X. ©2015 by the Cato Institute. Correspondence should be addressed to *Cato Policy Report*, 1000 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. www.cato.org • 202-842-0200

CATO POLICY REPORT

David Boaz..... Editor
David Lampo..... Managing Editor
Maria Santos Bier..... Assistant Editor
Jon Meyers..... Art Director
Brendan O’Hara..... Photographer
Claudia Ringel..... Copyeditor
Mai Makled..... Graphic Designer

CATO INSTITUTE

Peter Goettler..... President and CEO
Robert A. Levy..... Chairman
David Boaz..... Executive Vice President
Linda Ah-Sue..... V.P., Events and Conferences
Kristine Brookes..... V.P., Communications
James A. Dorn..... V.P., Monetary Studies
Gene Healy..... Vice President
Jon Heimerman..... V.P./ Chief Administrative Officer
David Kirby..... V.P., Development
Brink Lindsey..... Vice President for Research
Roger Pilon..... V.P., Legal Affairs
Christopher Preble..... V.P., Defense & Foreign Policy Studies
John Samples..... V.P. and Publisher
Edward H. Crane..... President Emeritus
Swaminathan Aiyar..... Research Fellow
Emma Ashford..... Research Fellow
Doug Bandow..... Senior Fellow
Adam Bates..... Policy Analyst, Criminal Justice
Jason Bedrick..... Education Policy Analyst
David Bier..... Immigration Policy Analyst
Ryan Bourne..... Scharf Chair
Trevor Burrus..... Research Fellow

Mark Calabria..... Director, Financial Regulation Studies
Vanessa Brown Calder..... Policy Analyst
Michael F. Cannon..... Director, Health Policy Studies
Ted Galen Carpenter..... Senior Fellow
Patrick Eddington..... Policy Analyst
Chris Edwards..... Director, Tax Policy Studies
Emily Ekins..... Research Fellow
Matthew Feeney..... Policy Analyst
Thomas Firey..... Senior Fellow
Benjamin H. Friedman..... Research Fellow
Robert Garber..... Director, Marketing
Karen Garvin..... Copyeditor
Eric Gomez..... Policy Analyst
Jim Harper..... Senior Fellow
Nat Hentoff..... Senior Fellow
Juan Carlos Hidalgo..... Policy Analyst on Latin America
Daniel J. Ikenson..... Director, Trade Policy Studies
Andrei Illarionov..... Senior Fellow
Thaya Knight..... Assoc. Director, Financial Regulation Studies
Jason Kuznicki..... Research Fellow
David Lampo..... Publications Director
Simon Lester..... Trade Policy Analyst
Timothy Lynch..... Director, Criminal Justice
Neal McCluskey..... Director, Center for Educational Freedom
Jon Meyers..... Art Director
Patrick J. Michaels..... Director, Center for the Study of Science
Jeffrey Miron..... Director of Economic Studies
Daniel J. Mitchell..... Senior Fellow
John Mueller..... Senior Fellow
Johan Norberg..... Senior Fellow
Alex Nowrasteh..... Immigration Policy Analyst
Walter Olson..... Senior Fellow
Randal O’Toole..... Senior Fellow
Tom G. Palmer..... Senior Fellow
Daniel R. Pearson..... Senior Fellow, Trade Policy Studies
Alan Peterson..... Director of MIS
Aaron Ross Powell..... Editor, *Libertarianism.org*
Alan Reynolds..... Senior Fellow

Claudia Ringel..... Manager, Editorial Services
Flemming Rose..... Senior Fellow
Nicholas Quinn Rosenkranz..... Senior Fellow
Julian Sanchez..... Senior Fellow
George Selgin..... Director, Center for Monetary Alternatives
Ilya Shapiro..... Senior Fellow
Brad Stapleton..... Visiting Research Fellow
Michael Tanner..... Senior Fellow
A. Trevor Thrall..... Senior Fellow
Marian Tupy..... Senior Policy Analyst
Valerie Usher..... Chief Financial Officer
Peter Van Doren..... Editor, *Regulation*
Ian Vásquez..... Director, Ctr. for Global Liberty and Prosperity
K. William Watson..... Trade Policy Analyst
Xia Yeliang..... Visiting Fellow
Richard Lindzen..... Distinguished Senior Fellow
José Piñera..... Distinguished Senior Fellow
Radley Balko..... Media Fellow
Randy E. Barnett..... Senior Fellow
Vladimir Bukovsky..... Senior Fellow
Lawrence Gasman..... Senior Fellow in Telecommunications
Steve H. Hanke..... Senior Fellow
John Hasnas..... Senior Fellow
Penn Jillette..... Mencken Research Fellow
David B. Kopel..... Associate Policy Analyst
Deepak Lal..... Senior Fellow
Christopher Layne..... Research Fellow, Foreign Policy Studies
Gerald P. O’Driscoll Jr...... Senior Fellow
P. J. O’Rourke..... Mencken Research Fellow
William Poole..... Senior Fellow
Jim Powell..... Senior Fellow
Vernon L. Smith..... Senior Fellow
Teller..... Mencken Research Fellow
Cathy Young..... Research Associate
James M. Buchanan (1919–2013)..... Distinguished Senior Fellow
F. A. Hayek (1889–1992)..... Distinguished Senior Fellow
William A. Niskanen (1933–2011)..... Chairman Emeritus

Analysis no. 805), apprenticeships come with a unique set of policy challenges. There must be a way to deal with the problem of the “runaway apprentice,” for example, where the apprentice leaves his employer before he has worked long enough to have made his employer’s time investment in training him worthwhile. Germany has answered this by imposing strict union and licensing requirements on apprentices—a model with obvious drawbacks, particularly in excluding competition. Heriot warns that implementing apprenticeships in the wrong way could have serious negative consequences.

THE DANGERS OF CARBON PRICING

In “**The Case against a U.S. Carbon Tax**” (Policy Analysis no. 801), Robert P. Murphy of the Institute for Energy Research and Pat Michaels and Paul C. Knappenberger of the Cato Institute’s Center for the Study of Science tackle the “serious problems” with calls for a federal carbon tax or other “carbon price.” The authors make the case that carbon taxes cause more economic damage on labor or capital than generic taxes. In Australia, they note, the carbon tax was a short-lived experiment, being thrown out after public protests over electricity price hikes and a struggling economy, while in British Columbia, the carbon tax has not produced significant reductions in gasoline purchases.

INDIA: SUCCESSES AND FAILURES

In just 25 years India has transformed from a poor, slow-growing country into a potential global superpower with the third-largest GDP in the world. In “**Twenty-Five Years of Indian Economic Reform**” (Policy Analysis no. 803), Cato research fellow Swaminathan S. Anklesaria Aiyar analyzes the economic reforms that made this growth possible, as well as government failures that have held it back. India suffers from extremely poor institutions, including an inefficient and corrupt police force, a similarly corrupt political structure, and an abysmal education system—half of government

schools are not even teaching students. Although India has seen many private sector successes, he concludes, it needs still more reforms and a significant shoring up of its institutions for the market to fully flourish.

‘BAN THE BOX’?

Many criminal justice reform advocates back the “ban the box” movement—calling for employers to delay asking about an applicant’s criminal record until late in the hiring process. But in “**Does ‘Ban the Box’ Help or Hurt Low-Skilled Workers? Statistical Discrimination and Employment Outcomes When Criminal Histories Are Hidden**” (Research Briefs in Economic Policy no. 62), Jennifer L. Doleac of the University of Virginia and Benjamin Hansen of the University of Oregon find that this policy could harm low-income minority job applicants. When employers cannot know which candidates have criminal backgrounds, they are likely to attempt to guess which could be offenders, and avoid interviewing them. And since young, low-skilled black and Hispanic men are the most likely to have been incarcerated, employers may respond to “ban the box” laws by avoiding interviews with minorities.

TAXING AWAY INVENTIONS

What do the inventors of the telephone, Kraft Foods Inc., video games, PET scanners, rechargeable lithium batteries, and some of Microsoft’s most profitable products all have in common? All of them were immigrants. In “**Taxation and the International Mobility of Inventors**” (Research Briefs in Economic Policy no. 63), Ufuk Akcigit of the University of Chicago, Salomé Baslandze of the Einaudi Institute for Economics and Finance, and Stefanie Stantcheva of Harvard University investigate how tax policies affect the migration choices of inventors, who tend to be highly mobile. They find that so-called “superstar” inventors—those with the most abundant and valuable innovations—are significantly affected by tax rates when choosing where to

locate—meaning tax hikes could cause the best and brightest to flee.

THE TRUTH ABOUT GLASS-STEAGALL

Many have blamed America’s financial crisis on the partial repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act, which imposed the separation of commercial and investment banking in the 1930s. In 2016 both Republican and Democrats were pushing for reinstatement of Glass-Steagall on the campaign trail. But in “**The**



Repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act: Myth and Reality” (Policy Analysis no. 804), international financial regulatory expert Oonagh McDonald refutes the claims that repeal-

ing Glass-Steagall caused the financial crisis, or that bringing it back would prevent future crises. Instead, she argues, commercial bank failures were largely driven by credit losses on real estate loans, and Glass-Steagall would not have prevented this.

THE MYTH OF THE ‘MYOPIC’ HOME BUYER

Consumers react to price changes—but not to *all* price changes. They’re more responsive to changes in the actual price of a product than to its shipping and handling, or the sales tax, for example. In “**Are Home Buyers Myopic? Evidence from Housing Sales**” (Research Briefs in Economic Policy no. 64) Erica Myers of the University of Illinois researches housing sales for oil- and gas-heated homes to investigate what this means for government strategies for trying to reduce greenhouse gas emissions—how do consumers respond to fuel incentives imposed by taxes or cap-and-trade programs? Do they “undervalue” future fuel costs, as is sometimes claimed? She finds that home buyers are indeed paying attention to fuel prices, suggesting that pollution pricing could create incentives to reduce the amount of energy people choose to consume. ■