

JULY 5, 2018 | NUMBER 120

Ride-Sharing, Fatal Crashes, and Crime

BY ANGELA K. DILLS, WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY, AND SEAN E. MULHOLLAND, WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

The advent of ride-sharing applications for smartphones has revolutionized the vehicle-for-hire market. An alternative to traditional taxi and limousine services, ride-sharing applications, such as Uber and Lyft, enable potential passengers to “hail” nearby private drivers via geo-location. Potential passengers and drivers broadcast their locations, quickly map the distance to one another, agree on a price, and estimate the likely wait time. Although matching drivers to potential passengers in real time provides a greater ease of service, this innovation has encountered scrutiny. Much of the scrutiny stems from the lack of state and municipal safety regulations that are required of ride-sharing’s competitors.

We investigate whether the introduction of the ride-sharing service Uber is associated with net changes in vehicular fatalities and arrest rates. Ride-sharing passengers, drivers, and others may respond to Uber in a variety of ways. Because there is little to no regulation of ride-sharing, passengers, pedestrians, and occupants in nearby vehicles may be subject to a greater risk of injury from driver error or

parts failures. The use of smartphone applications by drivers, along with increases in the number of passengers per vehicle, potentially increases driver distraction. The increased interaction of passengers and non-government-certified drivers may result in greater violence. Conversely, these applications reduce passenger wait times and may encourage some drowsy or intoxicated potential drivers to ride instead of drive. Yet this ease of use might also increase alcohol consumption and other risky behavior. All these behavioral responses affect the risk of vehicular crashes and crime. We empirically estimate the direction and magnitude of these effects.

To do so, we first use monthly data from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s Fatality Analysis Reporting System to study whether Uber’s entry is associated with changes in the overall rate of fatal automobile accidents. We also examine three related measures: alcohol-related fatal crashes, nighttime fatal crashes, and the number of vehicular fatalities per 100,000 people. Using a difference-in-differences specification, we find that fatal accident rates generally decline after the introduction of Uber. Specifically, in our basic regressions, we estimate a 1.6 percent decline in

the overall fatal crash rate for each additional quarter Uber is available. For alternate results, we observe a 0.7 percent decline for each quarter Uber is available. These results are robust to a variety of specifications. For some specifications, we also observe a reduction in the rate of fatal crashes involving alcohol and fatal crashes at night and in the number of vehicular fatalities for the months following the introduction of Uber.

Next, we use the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting program to explore whether the introduction of Uber is associated with changes in arrests for particular types of crime: aggravated assaults, other assaults, motor vehicle thefts, driving under the influence (DUI), drunkenness, and disorderly conduct. Again, employing a difference-in-differences specification with county-specific trends, we typically find a decline in the arrest rate for DUIs. Recognizing that it takes time for potential users to become aware of the service and for current users to become more

familiar with the ride-sharing application, in our basic results we estimate a 0.8 percent decline in DUIs for each additional month Uber is available. For most specifications, we also observe declines in the arrest rates for other crimes, but those tend to be imprecisely estimated. However, the arrest rate for motor vehicle theft increases.

Overall, ride-sharing applications have good effects for traffic safety and crime. The ease of use, quick response time, and point-to-point transportation convenience promote safety. All three mechanisms are especially important when potential riders are out late and not in a sound condition to drive themselves home.

NOTE

This research brief is based on Angela K. Dills and Sean E. Mulholland, "Ride-Sharing, Fatal Crashes, and Crime," *Southern Economic Journal* 84, no. 4 (April 2018): 965–91.